

India Port Traffic

- Major Ports 555 MT
 (Mumbai, Chennai, Cochin, Kolkatta, Haldia and Tuticorin)
- Non Major Ports 417 MT
 (Vizag, Pipavav, Krishnapatinam, Mundra)
- Percentage of Traffic at Major Ports 57%
- Percentage of Traffic at Non Major 43%

India Container Traffic in 2014

- Major Ports 7.4M TEUs
- Non Major Ports 2.5M TEUS
- Percentage at Major Ports 75 %
- Percentage at Non Major 25%

Major Container Handling ports in India

- JNPT 4.1 M TEUS
- Chennai 1.1 M TEUS
- Mundra 1.94 M TEUS
- Pipava 0.35 M TEUS
- Others 2.41 M TEUS

INLAND CONTAINER TRAFFIC IN 2014

50% of the over all traffic is handled in ICD and CFS

Growth of ICD and CFS in India

- 247 listed CFS and ICD in India
- Most of these are CFS located close to the Port
- Maharashtra has 48 facilities (30 CFS in Nhavasheva)
- Tamil Nadu has 60 facilities (33 CFS in Chennai)
- Gujarat 33 ICD Facilities
- Uttar Pradesh 18 ICD facilities
- NCR Put together 56 ICD and CFS Facilities
- Large Portion of Cargo traffic is bound for inland locations
- Concor is the largest player operating 48 Terminals which handles Exim cargo
- 14 others handles domestic cargo only

Container Rail Operations

 In order to make Inland transportation Cost effective/ Competitive and timely movement, there are 16 private operators besides Concor or also moving Containers by rail

 Among he 16 Operators, CONCOR remains the market leader and handles over 2.2 M Teus

How can Inland services Benefit Ports

- Helps de congest the Ports at the gateway
- Reduce Carbon Foot prints and Consolidation of Cargo
- Provide One stop Logistics and Custom Provision
- Help Increase containerization (India is at 50% compared to global standards of 70%)

Drivers & Challenges for the development of Inland service

Drivers

- · Growth in Port Traffic
- Custom clearance activities in ICDs / CFSs
- Higher margins in comparison with other logistics activities
- Construction of Dedicated Freight Corridor

Challenges

- High costs for development of facility
- Archaic procedures for the movement of cargo



Drivers

- Owning to a distance of 1500 Kms from JNPT and 1100 from Mundra, the cost of road transport is extremely prohibited and a burden to the congested road network
- Rail transport by comparison far more cost competitive safer and leaves a lower carbon foot print
- ICD with efficient rail Links to ports ease gate congestion,
 Reduce dwell Time, documentation and inspection time
- Door to door transportation
- Ease of Business transactions at ICDs

Challenges

- Competition between Rail and Road
- Single largest cost for rail transportation is the High Haulage Cost paid to Indian railways estimated to be around 75 % of the total transport cost
- Availability of empty containers at ICD
- Difference in Ocean container freight by Shipping Lines for ICD Exceptions
- Congested Rail corridors
- Inadequate Rail frequency
- Lack of Last Mile Connectivity

Present Scenario

- Congestion prevails in most Gate way ports in the Country
- Nhava sheva is better connected to North ICDs than Chennai as it is connected only to Bangalore through Concor
- There is no space in facilities in one side of the Country

 (Nhava sheva) while there is too much capacity in the other side
 of the Country (Chennai)
- Private Rail operators are yet to be successful as a result of economies of scale
- There is No balance of trade in most gateway ports as a result haulage on one side is more expensive than the other

Conclusion

- CFS and ICDs form a key part of the logistics industry infrastructure.
- CFS/ICD/Dry Port can be defined as "Common user facility with public authority status equipped with fixed installations and offering services for handling and temporary storage of import/export laden and empty containers carried under customs control.
- The CFS/ICDs investments are lucrative investment avenues as they provide, high margins in comparison with other logistics activities while the entry barriers and overall development scope far more exceeds the other logistics services lines of business. The following table analyzes the above discussed point.

| Scenario | Mature | Growth | Growth | Growth Capital | Growth | Mature |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------|--------|--------|
| | | | | Intensive | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Entry Barrier | Low | High | High | High | Medium | Low |
| Growth | 5-10% | 20-22% | 15% | 20% | 35% | 10-15% |
| EBIDTA | 3-5% | 8-10% | 25% | 30% | 40% | 4-6% |
| margins | | | | | | |

APMT-IS South Asia –Responsive & Supporting you in growth



Operations & Management - Wholly owned companies

 \bigstar

Container Freight Station (bonded depots):
Mumbai & Chennai .

Equipment Maintenance & Repairs (non-bonded depots): Mumbai, Vizag, Pipavav, Dadri, Loni, Chennai, Tuticorin

Transportation (Road): Mumbai, Chennai & Dadri (New Delhi)

Operations & Management- Leased & 3rd party facilities

Pipavav CFS of APM Terminals Pipavav: Gujarat, India

*

DRT CFS: Mumbai, India.

Tuticorin CFS: Tuticorin, India.

INKEL Terminal: Cochin, India

Joint ventures

9

Star Track Terminals (bonded depot): New

Delhi